RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 2 2 2007

PTC/SE/97 (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Application Number: 09/871,427

Filing Date: 5/31/2001

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office

August 22, 2007

Date

Cheryl Boies

Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

1. Summary of Interview Held August 22, 2007 (2 pages)

Attorney Docket No. GS1-0009US

Confirmation No. 8901

571-273-8300

Please notify us immediately (509-324-9256) if there is a problem with the quality of this fax.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.03 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
AUG 2 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application Serial No	09/871,427
Filing Date	
Inventorship	
Applicant	
Group Art Unit	
Examiner	
Attorney's Docket No	GS1-009US
Title: Method and System for Processing R	law Financial Data Streams to Produce
and Distribute Structured and Validated Product Offering Objects	

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW HELD AUGUST 22, 2007

To:

Commissioner of Patents

P.Q. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

From: Nathan T. Grebasch (Tel. 509-324-9256; Fax 509-323-8979)

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

A telephonic Interview was held on August 22, 2007 in which the Examiner and counsel discussed the pending (1) Requirement for Information Under 37 CFR 1.105 and the pending 35 U.S.C. §102(b) rejection with respect to Cornelius. Counsel for the Applicant requested that the Office indicate the basis under 37 CFR 1.105 for the pending Requirement for Information as the current Requirement did not provide (with particularity) a rationale under M.P.E.P. §704.11, as is required. Counsel for the Applicant requested the Office to reconsider the Requirement.



Counsel for the Applicant requested that the Examiner reconsider the Action as the basis of the rejection appeared (to counsel) to be eight pages of uninterrupted claim text with interspersed similar citations to fairly broad passages.

While no agreement was reached, the Examiner indicated that she will provide an indication of the basis for the Requirement for Information. Counsel indicated that he would tentatively submit and interview request which requested that the Examiner point out the claim breaks in the pending Action, if an additional interview was desired.

Respectfully Submitted.

Dated: 8 22.07

By:

Nathan T. Grebesch Reg. No. 48,600

(509) 324-9256 x228